

Page 442: The sentence beginning “If the recording site is the soma. . .” on line seven should be replaced by “The symmetry of the waveforms with respect to stimulation and recording sites can be exploited by compartmental modellers: one simulation with the *input* into a single recording site and *recording* from the various “input sites” generates the same results as several simulations with the original recording site, using the input sites one at a time.”

Page 445: The text following Eq. 93 should read: “(Special cases occur when  $\tau_{sy}$  is equal to one of the  $\tau_n$  values.) Where  $\tau_{sy} > \tau_m$ ,  $q$  is real, and  $\bar{G}_r(X_n, Z_o, p)$  can be evaluated using Eqs. 64–72, 74, 76, and 78. However, in many cases,  $\tau_{sy} < \tau_m$ , so  $q$  is complex, and we let  $q = i\omega$ , where  $\omega = \sqrt{\tau_m m h; 1q/\tau_{sy} - 1}$ . Substitutions (Eq. 88) must then be used in these equations, with  $\omega$  instead of  $\alpha_n$ , together with  $\bar{A}_j(i\omega) = \bar{A}_j'(\omega)$  and  $\bar{B}_j(i\omega) = -i\bar{B}_j'(\omega)$  to obtain appropriate recursive expressions for evaluating  $G$  (the prime ‘ does not imply differentiation here):”

Major, G., J. D. Evans, and J. J. B. Jack. 1993. *Biophys. J.* 65:450–468 (Paper II).

Page 451: In Table 1, the terms “ $k_j$ ” and “ $\hat{k}_j$ ” should read “ $\kappa_j$ ” and “ $\hat{\kappa}_j$ ,” respectively.

Page 461: The penultimate line of the left column should read “. . . subtractive techniques (16)” not “. . . subtractive techniques (cf. Ref. 16).”

Page 466: The term “ $\beta_c$ ” three lines above Eq. 66 should be “ $\bar{B}_c$ .” Also, on the same page, the “(□)” two lines after Eq. 70 should read “(□).”

G. Major. 1993. *Biophys. J.* 65:469–491 (Paper III).

The first paragraph of the left column should read “CA1 pyramidal cell” not “CA1 pyramidal all.”